

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/731,199	12/09/2003	Martin B. Wolk	59001US002	4389	
32692	7590 06/19/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
3M INNOV	ATIVE PROPERTIES	SCHILLING,	SCHILLING, RICHARD L		
ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1752		
			DATE MAILED: 06/10/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/731,199	WOLK, MARTIN B.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Richard L. Schilling	1752		

	Richard L. Schilling	1752				
The MAILING DATE f this communicati n appe	ars n the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 12 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice or ring replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid aba ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailir	ng date of the final rejecti	on.			
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		ET INOT KEI ET WAOT	1220 WIII III V			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amoun hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two month	ns of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th				
3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l	out prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered b	003050			
(a) ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, if			ecause			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		, ( L 50.0 W),				
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	• •	educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).					
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ol>						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	·	•	_			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) chiected to:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of filing a N I sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a			
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowar	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
	FICHARD 1 PRIMARY GROU	SAVINE VIEW				

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: adding the "host" material raises new issues under 35 USC 112 as to support for donor elements with only the optional second transfer layer of pg. 12 and 13 of the specification and meaning of "host" material and new issues under 102 and 103 since the proposed claims broaden the scope of the transfer layers..

PRIMARY EMPLOYER

GNOUP 4166 /75